How are Developers Using Refactoring Tools?

Emerson Murphy-Hill North Carolina State University



Refactoring Tools are Great!

- They do what you'd do anyway, but:
 - Faster
 - Guaranteed Safety

But...

Do refactoring tools really support the **kinds** of refactorings that people want to do?

Is the way that refactoring tools work really the **way** developers refactor?

Do programmers **use the features** of refactoring tools?

If an answer is no, how can we make tools fit?







Study Technique

- Compared refactorings in code to refactoring tool history
- When tools are used, looked at how they were used

Study Participants

- 2 small development teams
- Around 3 years of development history each
- Eclipse users
- Looked through 40 CVS commits per team

Do refactoring tools really support the **kinds** of refactorings that people want to do?

Found 287 refactorings 70 have no tool support in Eclipse (24%)

Conclusion: Refactoring tools largely support that types of refactorings people do. Unsupported refactorings:

- Mostly remove dead code (remove exception, unused method, cast...)
- Significant modifer changing (e.g., public->private)
- Swap statements
- Use List instead of Array
- Replace literal with constant

Is the way that refactoring tools work really the **way** developers refactor?

Tactic 1: Floss refactoring

Refactoring interspersed with other changes

Tactic 2: Root-canal refactoring

Intense and protracted periods of refactoring





Do programmers **use the features** of refactoring tools?

Refactoring Tool	Configura	tion Option	Default	Value	Change Free Toolsmiths	quency <i>Mylyn</i>
Extract Local Variable	Declare the	Conclusion: Refactoring to configuration options a often unchanged.	cools' are		5%	0%

Findings

Do refactoring tools really support the kinds of refactorings that people want to do? Yes.

Is the way that refactoring tools work really the way developers refactor? No.

Do programmers use the features of refactoring tools? No.

How can we make tools fit?

Some Solutions



http://people.engr.ncsu.edu/ermurph3/pubs.html

Supporting Manual Refactoring

"My hand copy-past active cor seconds, would hat to do witl [tool]. Bu started... and conti

```
InteractionEvent event = (InteractionEvent) object;
return (date == null ? event.date == null
    : date.equals(event.date))
    && (endDate == null ? event.endDate == null
    : endDate.equals(event.endDate))
    && (kind == null ? event.kind == null
    : kind.equals(event.kind))
    && (structureKind == null ? event.structureKind == null
    : structureKind.equals(event.structureKind))
    && (structureHandle == null ? intEvent.structureHandle == null
      structureHandle.equals(intEv
    :
                                      8 Finish rename refactoring: 'event' to 'intEvent'
    && (originId == null ? intEven
                                      O Create local variable 'intEvent'
    : originId.equals(intEvent.ori
                                      O Create parameter 'intEvent'
    && (navigation == null ? intEv
                                       Create constant 'intEvent'
    : navigation.equals(intEvent.n
    && (delta == null ? intEvent.d
    : delta.equals(intEvent.delta))
    && interestContribution == intEvent.interestContribution;
```

Tool prototype written by Xi Ge, <u>http://www4.ncsu.edu/~xge/</u>

Conclusion

Emerging data helps us to reflect on how people really refactor

Reflecting allows us to make better tools



Constant and constant of constant	<pre>// hardson.am i</pre>	<pre>InterestionDerest event = [InterestionDerest] abject; return (dets = mull > event.dets = mull i date.equals(event.dets)) 66 (endots = mull > event.endots = mull i date.equals(event.dets)) 66 (interestionDerestion) 66 (interestionDer</pre>	ets at Pull Up ets Method retz D Method Method alasset () finine 1 marcelli (ndirection region alasset () if (pare: 1) Method public Pisch (ring menutes)	<pre>void goOnVacation() { Bike togdElke = getRoadBike(); WBike mountainBike = getMtnBike(); loadOnCar(codBike, mountainBike); }</pre>