SIGMOD 2018 PC Chair Report

Phil Bernstein
Microsoft Research

Thanks and Acknowledgments

PC vice-chairs Xin Luna Dong, Mohamed Mokbel

Industrial Neoklis Polyzotis, Sam Madden

Tutorial Ihab Ilyas, Stratis Viglas

Demonstrations Georgia Koutrika, Feifei Li

SRC Chairs Alvin Cheung, Jana Giceva

New Researcher Symp. Katja Hose, Eugene Wu

Workshops Ihab Ilyas, Benny Kimelfeld

Proceedings Ahmed Eldawy

Thanks and Acknowledgments (2)

General Chairs Gautam Das, Chris Jermaine

Local Arrangements Byron Gao, Carlos Ordonez,

Anshumali Shrivastava, Ali Saman Tosun

Publicity Daisy Zhe Wang, Eugene Wu

Web Mahashweta Das

Sponsorships Paolo Papotti, Semih Salihoglu

Finance Nan Zhang

Registration Saravanan Thirumuruganathan

Mentorship Ahmed Abukmail, Senjuti Basu Roy,

Parth Nagarkar

Research PC Group Leaders

Daniel Abadi

Arvind Arasu

Walid Aref

Shivnath Babu

Michael Cafarella

AnHai Doan

Bill Howe

Zachary Ives

Gerome Miklau

Tova Milo

Jeff Naughton

Erhard Rahm

Ken Ross


Wang-Chiew Tan

Jeffrey Xu Yu


Submissions

		2015	2016	2017	2018
	submitted	413	569	489	458
Research	accepted	106 (25%)	116 (20%)	96 (19%)	90 (20%)
	submitted	18	50	0	40
Industrial	accepted	18	21 (4 invited)	4 invited	17 (2 invited)
Domos	submitted	86	126	90	108
Demos	accepted	30	31	31	36
Tutorials	submitted	11	24	16	14
	accepted	4	10	13	6

Research Submissions with x coauthors



Authors with $oldsymbol{x}$ Research Submissions



Submissions

2134 distinct authors of <u>all</u> submissions

Reviewing Process

Goals

- Ensure reviewers are experts on papers they reviewed
- Add reviewers as needed
- Ensure reviews are high quality
- Encourage discussion
- Did not open all reviews to entire PC

Reviewing Process

- Reviewer bids
 - Eager, Willing, In-a-pinch, Not Willing
- Reviewing assignments


54% Eager bidder

41% Willing bidder

4% In-a-pinch bidder


0.4% Not Willing bidder

PC Members reviewing x papers



Reviews with Length of x characters


- 2017 to 2018, average(length) grew from 3000 to 3900
- 2018 median(length) = 3500 characters



Review Length

- —# Reviews 2018
- —# Reviews 2017 Normalized by 458/489

Submissions with $oldsymbol{x}$ Discussion Comments



Discussion Comments

—# Submissions 2018 —# Submissions 2017

Changes to Program

- Moved tutorials to Tuesday Thursday
- Industry sessions are separate
- Reduced session parallelism from 5 to 3

Presentation Length

- Reviewers made recommendations
- PC Group Leaders made recommendations
 - 20 definitely
 - 20 if possible
 - Borderline
 - 10 definitely
- Some highly-rate papers are 10-minutes
 - Narrow topic, only requires 10', needs >> 20'

52 talks	36 talks	13 industry talks
@ 20 min	@ 10 min	@ 22-24 min

Final Remarks

I'd welcome feedback

These slides will be posted on my web page