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## Introduction

Building efficient and correct applications with leveled integer FHE schemes is tedious and error-prone:

- Incorrect encryption parameters will compromise either security or performance.
- Best performance requires efficient use of batching.
- For the CKKS family of schemes correctness requires careful precision selection.
CHET is a compiler and runtime that automates many parts of this process for neural network inference tasks. The compiler applies transformations based on a framework of symbolic analysis passes.


The resulting Optimized Homomorphic Tensor Circuit is used by the runtime to evaluate the network on encrypted data.

## Data Layout Selection

CHET selects one of four layout policies for the runtime:
HW and CHW use the corresponding layout throughout. HW-conv switches to HW for convolutions, CHW otherwise. CHW-fc uses CHW starting from the first fully connected layer.

The selection uses a cost analysis pass, which accounts for:

- Relative costs of operations.
- Required encryption parameters.
- Degree of parallelism in the model vs. available execution units.
- Cost of switching between layouts.

Parameter Selection
CHET supports parameter selection for both HEAAN's CKKS and SEAL's RNS-CKKS. The analysis passes simulate scaling behavior while measuring modulus consumed by rescale operations.

Rotation Key Selection
Using a network specific set of rotation keys can provide up to 2 X performance improvement. This transformation uses a pass that records the necessary rotation keys.


## Data Layouts for Vectorized Kernels

CHET includes kernels optimized for low-latency inference of CNNs, which operate on strided layouts of values into batched ciphertexts. We have considered two classes of layouts:

HW Each channel of an image is in a separate ciphertext. CHW Each ciphertext holds multiple channels.

Consider the 2D-convolution of an image tensor of shape (IC, H, W) with a filter of shape (FH, FW, IC, OC):

$$
\text { output }_{o c, h, w}=\sum_{i c=0}^{c} \sum_{f h=0}^{F H} \sum_{f w=0}^{F W} \text { input }_{i c, h+f h-\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor H}{2}\right\rfloor, w+f w-\left\lfloor\frac{f W}{2}\right\rfloor} \cdot \text { filter }_{f h, f w, i c, o c}
$$

For the HW layout the kernel is:

```
for oc in indices(OC):
    output[oc] = zeroCipher
    for ic,fh,fw in indices(IC,FH,FW):
        weight = encode(filter[fh,fw,ic,oc], scalarScale)
        rotated = leftRotate(input[ic], fh *W + fw)
        output[oc] = multiplyPlain(rotated, weight)
    tryRescale(output[oc], cipherScale)
```

The kernel for CHW is similar, but includes extra rotations and additions to handle multiple channels in a ciphertext. Compared to HW, the kernel may perform fewer multiplications. However, HW has a lower depth, because with CKKS encoding a uniform value into all slots is exact. These kinds of trade-offs make it challenging to choose the best layout manually.

## Evaluation

We have evaluated CHET on a set of CNNs. To our knowledge, SqueezeNet-CIFAR is the largest network evaluated on FHE to date.

| Network | Layers | CHET best | Hand-written |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LeNet-5-small | 4 | 8 s | 14 s |
| LeNet-5-medium | 4 | 51 s | 140 s |
| LeNet-5-large | 4 | 265 s |  |
| Industrial | 7 | 312 s | 2413 s |
| SqueezeNet-CIFAR | 10 | 1342 s |  |

The following figure compares latencies for each network with different layout policies. No single policy is best for all networks.


